| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---|---|
| | 10/630,873 | BAN ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Kuang Y. Lin | 1725 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: | |
| (1) <u>Kuang Y. Lin</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) Mr. Calderon. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: | | · |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: 5-15 and 21 | | |
| Claims discussed: | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: Thomes and Mezger | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet | E GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT V | VAS DISCUSSED: |
| Part III. | | |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a s directly resulted in the allowance of the applicant of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a s did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief | ation. The examiner will provide a vertical examiner will provide a vertical example. | vritten summary of the substance the interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| | | |
| | • | |
| | • | |
| | | |
| | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (A | Applicant/Applicant's Representative | e Signature – if appropriate) |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It is the opinion of the examiner that in claim 16, last line, before "straight", the word "vertical" shall be inserted to define the claimed mold structure over the prior art reference. Also, claims 5-15 and 21 shall be deleted since the claimed limitation does not define over the prior art references..